PB-242 109

DEER LAKE, MARQUETTE COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Pacific Northwest Environmental Research Laboratory

Prepared for:

National Environmental Research Center
Michigan Department of Natural Resources
Michigan National Guard

February 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS
National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

REPORT
ON
DEER LAKE
MARQUETTE COUNTY
MICHIGAN

EPA REGION V

WORKING PAPER No. 192

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

AND THE

MICHIGAN NATIONAL GUARD

FEBRUARY, 1975

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

1. REPORT NO.	2.
3. PB 242 109	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Report on Deer Lake, Marquette County, Michigan	5. REPORT DATE Prepared February 1975
	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(S) Anonymous (National Eutrophication Survey Staff)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. Working Paper # 192
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Pacific Northwest Environmental Research Laboratory U.S.Environmental Protection Agency 200 S. W. 35th St. Corvallis, Oregon 97330	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 1BA029 11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S. W. Washington, DC 20460	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final - 1972 14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Environmental Research Center, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

16. ABSTRACT
Annual total phosphorus and total nitrogen loadings to the lake were estimated and subdivded according to either point or non-point source origin. An assessment of the lake's trophic condition and limiting nutrient is also provided. All data collected by the U.S.E.P.A. National Eutrophication Survey during the one year study of the lake and its tributaries are included in the report.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
eutrophication lakes nutrients phosphorus nitrogen loading		05B 05C

PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Release unlimited	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report)	21. NO. OF PAGES 39
	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)	22. PRICE $3.75/2.25

EPA Form 2220-1 (9-73)

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	ii
List of Michigan Study Lakes	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v
Sections	
I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	4
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	5
IV. Nutrient Loadings	8
V. Literature Reviewed	13
VI. Appendices	14

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.

b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.

c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Michigan Department of Natural Resources for professional involvement and to the Michigan National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

A. Gene Gazlay, former Director, and David H. Jenkins, Acting Director, Michigan Department of Natural Resources; and Carlos Fetterolf, Chief Environmental Scientist, and Dennis Tierney, Aquatic Biologist, Bureau of Water Management, Department of Natural Resources, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the course of the Survey. John Vogt, Chief of the Bureau of Environmental Health, Michigan Department of Public Health, and his staff were most helpful in identfying point sources and soliciting municipal participation in the Survey.

Major General Clarence A. Schnipke (Retired), then the Adjutant General of Michigan, and Project Officer Colonel Albert W. Lesky, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Michigan National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY LAKES

STATE OF MICHIGAN

LAKE NAME	COUNTY
Allegan Res.	Allegan
Barton	Kalamazoo
Belleville	Wayne
Betsie	Benzie
Brighton	Livingston
Caro Res.	Tuscola
Charlevoix	Charlevoix
Chemung	Livingston
Constantine Res.	St. Joseph
Crystal	Montcalm
Deer	Marquette
Ford	Washtenaw
Fremont	Newago
Higgins	Roscommon
Holloway Res.	Genesee, Lapeer
Houghton	Roscommon
Jordon	Ionia, Barry
Kent	Oakland
Long	St. Joseph
Macatawa	Ottawa
Manistee	Manistee
Mona	Muskegon
Muskegon	Muskegon
Pentwater	Oceana
Pere Marquette	Mason
Portage	Houghton
Randall	Branch
Rogers Pond	Mecosta
Ross	Gladwin
St. Louis Res.	Gratiot
Sanford	Midland
Strawberry	Livingston
Thompson	Livingston
Thornapple	Barry
Union	Branch
White	Muskegon


46°32'
46°28'
87°48'
87°44'
87°40'
outlet Carp River
A1
X 03
X 04
DEER
LAKE
C1
01
02
B1
B2
Gold Mine Lake
Gold Mine Creek
Gold Mine Creek
Cooper Cr.
Cooper Lake
Carp Creek
Lake Bacon
Lake Bancroft
ISHPEMING
MICH.
Map Location
North Lake
Carp Creek
Rock Lake
Greenwood
West Ishpeming
Carp Creek
Carp Creek
Larson Creek
DEER LAKE
Tributary Sampling Site
Lake Sampling Site
Sewage Treatment Facility
Direct Drainage Area Limits
Indirect Drainage Basin
0 1/2 1 2 MI.
Scale

DEER LAKE

STORET NO. 2624

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Deer Lake was sampled only two times. On the basis of these somewhat limited data, it appears that the lake is eutrophic. Of the 35 Michigan lakes on which sampling was completed, 31 had less mean total and dissolved phosphorus, 16 had greater Secchi disc transparency, and 25 had less mean chlorophyll a*.

Survey limnologists noted heavy algal growths in many portions of the lake.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

An algal assay sample was not taken at Deer Lake. However, the lake data indicate nitrogen limitation in June and September (i.e., N/P ratios were 3/1 or less).

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--During the sampling year, Deer Lake received a total phosphorus load at a rate nearly four times that proposed by Vollenweider (in press) as "dangerous"; i.e., a eutrophic rate (see page 12). Now, Vollenweider's model may not apply to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times,

* See Appendix A.

and the hydraulic retention time of Deer Lake is not known. However, the maximum depth sounded by Survey limnologists was 24 feet; and, if it is assumed that the mean depth is about one-third of that (i.e., eight feet), the mean hydraulic retention time would be about 90 days. If the assumed mean depth is reasonable, Vollenweider's model may be applicable to Deer Lake, although it is noted that less than 9% of the total phosphorus load was retained in the lake which indicates a rather short retention time.

It is calculated that the wastewater treatment plants serving Ishpeming and Ishpeming Township contributed nearly 85% of the total phosphorus load to Deer Lake during the sampling year. Removal of 80% of the phosphorus at these sources would reduce the loading rate to 0.76 $g/m^2/yr$ or about 1.2 times the eutrophic rate. In view of the questionable applicability of Vollenweider's model, it is likely that the reduced loading would improve the trophic condition of the lake and, in particular, reduce the incidence and severity of nuisance algal blooms as well as provide additional protection for downstream Lake Superior.

2. Non-point sources (see page 12)--During the sampling year, the phosphorus export of Gold Mine Creek was a rather

high 100 lbs/mi^2/yr. The relatively high Carp Creek export may have been due to underestimation of the phosphorus load from the Ishpeming Township B plant.

In all, it is estimated that non-point sources, including precipitation, contributed only about 15% of the total phosphorus load to Deer Lake during the sampling year.

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

A. Lake Morphometry†:

1. Surface area: 897 acres.
2. Mean depth: unknown.
3. Maximum depth: >20 feet.
4. Volume: unknown.

B. Tributary and Outlet:
(See Appendix B for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

Name	Drainage area*	Mean flow*
Carp Creek	24.0 mi^2	28.2 cfs
Gold Mine Creek	4.9 mi^2	6.0 cfs
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	6.0 mi^2	6.2 cfs
Totals	34.9 mi^2	40.4 cfs

2. Outlet -

Carp River	36.3 mi^2**	40.4 cfs

C. Precipitation***:

1. Year of sampling: 37.9 inches.
2. Mean annual: 32.0 inches.

---

† Miller and Thompson, 1970.

* Drainage areas are accurate within ±5%; mean daily flows for 74% of the sampling sites are accurate within ±25% and the remaining sites up to ±40%; and mean monthly flows, normalized mean monthly flows, and mean annual flows are slightly more accurate than mean daily flows.

** Includes area of lake.

*** See Working Paper No. 1, "Survey Methods, 1972".

III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Deer Lake was sampled twice during the open-water season of 1972 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from four stations on the lake and from a number of depths at each station (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration, and a similar sample was collected for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 13 feet at station 1, 16 feet at station 2, 16 feet at station 3, and 20 feet at station 4.

The results obtained are presented in full in Appendix C, and the data for the September sampling period when the lake essentially was well-mixed, are summarized below. Note, however, the Secchi disc summary is based on all values.

For differences in the various parameters in June, refer to Appendix C.

A. Physical and chemical characteristics:

Parameter	Minimum	Mean	Median	Maximum
		FALL VALUES (09/10/72)		
Temperature (Cent.)	16.9	17.3	17.4	17.6
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	6.4	8.0	7.8	9.4
Conductivity (μmhos)	220	228	225	240
pH (units)	8.2	8.4	8.4	8.6
Alkalinity (mg/l)	52	56	54	63
Total P (mg/l)	0.231	0.255	0.249	0.282
Dissolved P (mg/l)	0.203	0.219	0.218	0.243
NO_2 + NO_3 (mg/l)	0.030	0.038	0.040	0.050
Ammonia (mg/l)	0.060	0.082	0.070	0.170
		ALL VALUES		
Secchi disc (inches)	36	58	72	72

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Number per ml
06/24/72	1. Fragilaria	4,955
	2. Polycystis	362
	3. Cyclotella	344
	4. Cryptomonas	127
	5. Anabaena	90
	Other genera	270
	Total	6,148
09/10/72	1. Microcystis	226
	2. Melosira	206
	3. Dinobryon	186
	4. Cyclotella	116
	5. Flagellates	105
	Other genera	467
	Total	1,306

2. Chlorophyll a -
(Because of instrumentation problems during the 1972 sampling, the following values may be in error by plus or minus 20 percent.)

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll a (µg/l)
06/24/72	01	66.1
	02	42.8
	03	44.8
	04	18.3
09/10/72	01	4.0
	02	1.8
	03	2.3
	04	1.1

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS
(See Appendix D for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Michigan National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v), except for the months of May and September when two samples were collected. Sampling was begun in October, 1972, and was completed in September, 1973.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Michigan District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings*. Nutrient loadings for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated by using the means of the nutrient loads, in lbs/mi^2/year, in Gold Mine Creek at station C-1 and multiplying the means by the ZZ area in mi^2.

The operators of the Ishpeming and the Ishpeming Township A wastewater treatment plants provided monthly effluent samples and corresponding flow data. However, the Ishpeming Township B plant was not sampled, and nutrient loads were estimated at 2.5 lbs P and 7.5 lbs N/capita/year.

---

* See Working Paper No. 1.

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal* -

Name	Pop. Served	Treatment	Mean Flow (mgd)	Receiving Water
Ishpeming	8,000	Prim. clarifier	1.848	Carp River
Ishpeming Township A	1,550	Imhoff tank	0.129	Carp River
Ishpeming Township B	400	Imhoff tank	0.040**	Carp River

2. Known industrial - None

* Erickson, 1973.
** Estimated at 100 gal/capita/day.

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	lbs P/ yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Carp Creek	2,410	12.8
Gold Mine Creek	160	0.8
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	200	1.1
c. Known municipal STP's -		
Ishpeming	11,300	59.9
Ishpeming Township A	3,660	19.4
Ishpeming Township B	1,000	5.3
d. Septic tanks - unknown	-	-
e. Known industrial - none	-	-
f. Direct precipitation* -	140	0.7
Total	18,870	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Carp River 17,240

3. Net annual P accumulation - 1,630 pounds

* See Working Paper No. 1.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	lbs N/ yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Carp Creek	84,640	43.6
Gold Mine Creek	14,180	7.3
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	17,360	9.0
c. Known municipal STP's -		
Ishpeming	51,670	26.6
Ishpeming Township A	14,420	7.4
Ishpeming Township B	3,000	1.6
d. Septic tanks - unknown	-	-
e. Known industrial - none	-	-
f. Direct precipitation* -	8,640	4.5
Total	193,910	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Carp River 146,930

3. Net annual N accumulation - 46,980 pounds

* See Working Paper No. 1.

D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

Tributary	lbs P/mi^2/yr	lbs N/mi^2/yr
Carp Creek	100	3,527
Gold Mine Creek	33	2,894

E. Yearly Loading Rates:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loading rates are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (in press). Essentially, his "dangerous" rate is the rate at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with very short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
Units	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
lbs/acre/yr	21.0	1.8	216.2	52.4
grams/m^2/yr	2.36	0.20	24.2	5.9

Vollenweider loading rates for phosphorus (g/m^2/yr) based on surface area and mean outflow of Deer Lake:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic rate)	0.62
"Permissible" (oligotrophic rate)	0.31

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Erickson, John, 1973. Treatment plant questionnaire (Ishpeming and Ishpeming Township treatment plants). MI Dept. of Publ. Health, Escanaba.

Miller, J. B., and T. Thompson, 1970. Compilation of data for Michigan lakes. U.S. Geol. Survey, Dept. Interior, and MI Dept. of Nat. Resources, Lansing.

Vollenweider, Richard A. (in press). Input-output models. Schweiz Z. Hydrol.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	FALL VALUES: MEAN TOTAL P	FALL VALUES: MEAN DISS P	FALL VALUES: MEAN INORG N	ALL VALUES: 500-MEAN SEC	ALL VALUES: MEAN CHLORA	ALL VALUES: 15-MIN DO
2680	HOLLOWAY RESERVOIR	0.062	0.043	1.461	439.375	10.678	9.200
2681	CAMO RESERVOIR	0.117	0.022	3.835	473.000	11.967	9.500
2682	BOARDMAN HYDRO POND	0.006	0.005	0.358	363.500	1.267	6.600
2683	ALLEGAN LAKE	0.123	0.037	1.154	470.222	20.311	12.600
2686	HANTON LAKE	0.121	0.046	1.449	456.167	27.800	14.850
2689	BELLEVILLE LAKE	0.118	0.048	1.420	465.250	28.262	8.200
2690	BETSIE LAKE	0.025	0.008	0.273	461.667	4.567	7.400
2693	BRIGHTON LAKE	0.109	0.073	1.015	456.000	44.233	7.500
2697	LAKE CHARLEVOIX	0.007	0.006	0.230	351.250	3.008	9.240
2698	LAKE CHEMUNG	0.044	0.014	0.132	404.333	13.483	14.800
2701	CONSTANTINE RESERVOIR	0.027	0.008	0.910	456.167	39.317	7.500
2709	FORD LAKE	0.105	0.058	1.536	456.167	14.733	14.000
2711	FREMONT LAKE	0.372	0.342	1.406	441.667	28.530	14.800
2720	JORDAN LAKE	0.180	0.144	1.998	427.667	20.517	14.900
2723	KENT LAKE	0.040	0.015	0.417	455.000	33.944	13.000
2728	LAKE MACATAWA	0.197	0.120	2.358	477.600	25.600	12.200
2729	MANISTEE LAKE	0.018	0.010	0.304	451.333	6.317	11.380
2739	MUSKEGON LAKE	0.087	0.043	0.469	436.444	9.511	14.800
2745	PENTWATER LAKE	0.027	0.017	0.496	430.667	16.083	14.800
2771	RANDALL LAKE	0.296	0.183	0.816	457.333	27.217	8.020
2772	ROGERS POND	0.026	0.015	0.183	435.500	8.133	9.600
2773	RUSS RESERVOIR	0.034	0.021	0.460	465.333	10.383	8.200
2774	SANFORD LAKE	0.016	0.008	0.307	458.750	13.791	8.300
2783	PINEAPPLE LAKE	0.042	0.032	1.737	442.833	14.650	10.800
2785	UNION LAKE	0.083	0.064	1.252	455.500	13.667	8.200
2788	WHITE LAKE	0.027	0.014	0.367	417.778	9.211	13.400
2791	MONA LAKE	0.107	0.041	0.983	451.667	27.783	14.100
2792	LUND LAKE	0.163	0.148	0.749	414.400	10.367	13.500

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	ALL VALUES MEAN TOTAL P	ALL VALUES MEAN DISS P	ALL VALUES MEAN INORG N	ALL VALUES 500-MEAN SEC	ALL VALUES MEAN CHLORA	ALL VALUES 15-MIN DO
2693	ST LOUIS RESERVOIR	0.134	0.093	1.227	462.667	5.583	8.420
2694	CRYSTAL LAKE	0.004	0.006	0.164	380.000	2.986	13.000
2695	HIGGINS LAKE	0.007	0.005	0.058	268.500	1.043	9.400
2696	HOUGHTON LAKE	0.018	0.008	0.136	420.833	9.217	8.200
2697	THOMPSON LAKE	0.043	0.029	0.436	407.889	11.967	14.800
2698	PERE MARQUETTE LAKE	0.032	0.024	0.346	448.667	11.833	8.600
2699	STRAWBERRY LAKE	0.069	0.050	0.567	419.800	11.117	13.600

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	ALL VALUES: MEAN TOTAL P	ALL VALUES: MEAN DISS P	ALL VALUES: MEAN INORG N	ALL VALUES: SEC-MEAN SEC	ALL VALUES: MEAN CHLORA	ALL VALUES: 1S-MIN DO	INDEX NO
2640	HULLOWAY RESERVOIR	46 (16)	43 (15)	17 (6)	57 (20)	60 (21)	63 (22)	286
2641	CARO RESERVOIR	29 (10)	54 (19)	0 (0)	3 (1)	49 (17)	54 (19)	189
2642	MUSKEGON HYDRO POND	97 (34)	97 (34)	69 (24)	91 (32)	94 (33)	97 (34)	545
2643	ALLEGAN LAKE	20 (7)	31 (11)	31 (11)	6 (2)	29 (10)	40 (14)	157
2646	BARTON LAKE	23 (8)	20 (7)	14 (5)	29 (9)	14 (5)	3 (1)	103
2649	BELLEVILLE LAKE	26 (9)	37 (13)	20 (7)	11 (4)	11 (4)	74 (26)	199
2650	BETSIE LAKE	77 (27)	77 (27)	80 (28)	17 (6)	86 (30)	94 (33)	431
2653	BRIGHTON LAKE	31 (11)	23 (8)	34 (12)	34 (12)	0 (0)	90 (31)	212
2657	LAKE CHARLEVOIX	91 (32)	91 (32)	83 (29)	94 (33)	89 (31)	60 (21)	508
2658	LAKE CHEMUNG	49 (17)	71 (25)	94 (33)	86 (30)	46 (16)	11 (2)	357
2661	CONSTANTINE RESERVOIR	71 (25)	83 (29)	40 (14)	29 (9)	3 (1)	90 (31)	316
2669	FORD LAKE	34 (12)	29 (10)	11 (4)	29 (9)	37 (13)	23 (8)	163
2671	FREMONT LAKE	0 (0)	0 (0)	23 (8)	54 (19)	9 (3)	11 (2)	97
2680	JORDAN LAKE	11 (4)	11 (4)	6 (2)	69 (24)	26 (9)	0 (0)	123
2683	KENT LAKE	57 (20)	69 (24)	63 (22)	40 (14)	6 (2)	36 (12)	271
2688	LAKE MACATAWA	9 (3)	14 (5)	3 (1)	0 (0)	23 (8)	43 (15)	92
2689	MANISTEE LAKE	80 (28)	74 (26)	77 (27)	46 (16)	80 (28)	46 (16)	403
2699	MUSKEGON LAKE	37 (13)	40 (14)	54 (19)	60 (21)	69 (24)	11 (2)	271
2705	PENTWATER LAKE	69 (24)	63 (22)	51 (18)	66 (23)	31 (11)	11 (2)	291
2711	RANDALL LAKE	6 (2)	6 (2)	43 (15)	23 (8)	20 (7)	86 (30)	184
2712	ROGERS POND	74 (26)	66 (23)	86 (30)	63 (22)	77 (27)	51 (18)	417
2713	ROSS RESERVOIR	60 (21)	57 (20)	57 (20)	9 (3)	63 (22)	74 (26)	325
2714	SANFORD LAKE	86 (30)	80 (28)	74 (26)	20 (7)	43 (15)	71 (25)	374
2723	THORNAPPLE LAKE	54 (19)	46 (16)	9 (3)	51 (18)	40 (14)	43 (17)	249
2725	UNION LAKE	40 (14)	26 (9)	26 (9)	37 (13)	34 (12)	74 (26)	242
2728	WHITE LAKE	66 (23)	60 (21)	66 (23)	80 (28)	74 (26)	31 (11)	377
2691	MUNA LAKE	3 (1)	3 (1)	37 (13)	43 (15)	17 (6)	20 (7)	123
2692	LONG LAKE	14 (5)	9 (3)	46 (16)	77 (27)	66 (23)	27 (9)	239

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	FALL VALUES: MEAN TOTAL P	FALL VALUES: MEAN DISS P	FALL VALUES: MEAN INORG N	ALL VALUES: 500-MEAN SEC	ALL VALUES: MEAN CHLORA	ALL VALUES: 15-MIN DO	INDEX NO
2693	ST LOUIS RESERVOIR	17 (6)	17 (6)	29 (10)	14 (5)	83 (29)	69 (24)	229
2694	CRYSTAL LAKE	89 (31)	89 (31)	89 (31)	89 (31)	91 (32)	36 (12)	483
2695	HIGGINS LAKE	94 (33)	94 (33)	97 (34)	97 (34)	97 (34)	57 (20)	536
2696	HOUGHTON LAKE	83 (29)	86 (30)	91 (32)	71 (25)	71 (25)	79 (26)	481
2697	THOMPSON LAKE	51 (18)	49 (17)	60 (21)	83 (29)	51 (18)	11 (2)	305
2698	PERE MARQUETTE LAKE	63 (22)	51 (18)	71 (25)	49 (17)	54 (19)	66 (23)	354
2699	STRAWBERRY LAKE	43 (15)	34 (12)	49 (17)	74 (26)	57 (20)	27 (9)	284

APPENDIX B

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR MICHIGAN 3/27/75

LAKE CODE 2624 DEER LAKE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SQ MI) 36.30

TRIBUTARY	SUB-DRAINAGE AREA(SQ MI)	NORMALIZED FLOWS(CFS) JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
2624A1	36.30	45.71	64.10	58.98	18.49	23.51	46.42	36.04	33.16	43.50	37.98	35.47	43.71	40.45
2624B1	24.00	18.00	16.10	19.30	65.20	50.50	30.20	23.10	19.30	21.60	25.50	26.80	22.00	28.15
2624C1	4.89	4.30	3.80	4.60	11.50	9.70	6.60	5.30	4.60	5.00	5.80	6.00	5.20	6.04
2624ZZ	7.40	2.60	2.00	3.00	21.40	14.50	6.60	4.10	3.00	3.70	4.80	5.40	3.80	6.24

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 36.30
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 36.29

TOTAL FLOW IN = 484.90
TOTAL FLOW OUT = 487.07

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CFS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
2624A1	10	72	45.00						
	11	72	74.00	4	117.00				
	12	72	102.00	2	96.00				
	1	73	104.00						
	2	73	94.00						
	3	73	16.00						
	4	73	5.00						
	5	73	38.00						
	6	73	88.00						
	7	73	84.00	15	82.00				
	8	73	53.00						
	9	73	49.00						
2624B1	10	72	40.00	28	30.00				
	11	72	40.00						
	12	72	20.00	2	22.00				
	1	73	19.00	6	20.00				
	2	73	15.00	3	17.00				
	3	73	54.00	3	17.00				
	4	73	64.00	7	57.00				
	5	73	73.00	2	104.00	10	138.00	20	41.00
	6	73	28.00	2	27.00				
	7	73	21.00	15	16.00				
	8	73	21.00	17	14.00				
	9	73	18.00	9	13.00	25	20.00		

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR MICHIGAN 3/27/75

LAKE CODE 2624 DEER LAKE

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CFS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
2624C1	10	72	8.20	28	7.20				
	11	72	8.30						
	12	72	5.50	2	6.00				
	1	73	5.30	6	5.50				
	2	73	4.60	3	4.80				
	3	73	10.00	3	4.70				
	4	73	11.00	7	9.50				
	5	73	12.00	2	19.00	10	23.00	20	8.20
	6	73	6.70	2	6.50				
	7	73	5.60	15	4.60				
	8	73	5.60	17	4.20				
	9	73	5.10	9	4.10	25	5.40		
2624Z2	10	72	14.00						
	11	72	14.00						
	12	72	5.00						
	1	73	4.70						
	2	73	3.20						
	3	73	22.00						
	4	73	24.00						
	5	73	31.00						
	6	73	8.10						
	7	73	5.40						
	8	73	5.30						
	9	73	4.20						

APPENDIX C

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/28

262491
46 31 00.0 087 40 00.0
DEER LAKE
26 MICHIGAN

11EPALES 2111202
5 0016 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00666 PHOS-DIS MG/L P
72/06/24	13 25	0000	16.0	12.0	72	185	8.50	60	0.080	0.110	0.099	0.076
	13 25	0012	15.8	10.4		180	8.61	60	0.070	0.090	0.099	0.076
72/09/10	16 55	0000			42		8.60	63	0.040	0.090	0.249	0.228
	16 55	0004	17.1	9.2		236	8.60	63	0.050	0.100	0.266	0.222
	16 55	0013	16.9	8.0		240	8.50	63	0.050	0.170	0.282	0.243

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/06/24	13 25	0000	66.1J
72/09/10	16 55	0000	4.0J

J* VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/28

262402
46 31 00.0 087 42 00.0
DEER LAKE
26 MICHIGAN

11EPALES
5

2111202
0020 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00666 PHOS-DIS MG/L P
72/06/24	14 00	0000	16.2	11.6	72	180	8.69	59	0.040	0.070	0.084	0.064
	14 00	0015	15.0	10.9		175	8.62	63	0.080	0.300	0.172	0.115
72/09/10	17 10	0000					8.50	54	0.030	0.060	0.231	0.215
	17 10	0004	17.2	6.4		225	8.50	54	0.030	0.060	0.249	0.212
	17 10	0016	17.0	7.6		230	8.40	58	0.040	0.070	0.246	0.203

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/06/24	14 00	0000	42.8J
72/09/10	17 10	0000	1.8J

J* VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/28

262403
46 32 00.0 087 42 00.0
DEER LAKE
26 MICHIGAN

11EPALES 2111202
5 0017 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00666 PHOS-DIS MG/L P
72/06/24	14 20	0000	16.0	11.7	72	160	8.58	55	0.050	0.100	0.088	0.062
	14 20	0015	15.2	7.9		165	7.61	58	0.050	0.160	0.147	0.088
72/09/10	17 25	0000			39		8.30	54	0.040	0.070	0.241	0.210
	17 25	0004	17.4	7.8		225	8.20	53	0.030	0.070	0.247	0.218
	17 25	0016	17.4	7.9		225	8.30	53	0.030	0.070	0.264	0.211

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/06/24	14 20	0000	44.8J
72/09/10	17 25	0000	2.3J

J* VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/28

262404
46 32 00.0 087 40 00.0
DEER LAKE
26 MICHIGAN

11EPALES 2111202
5 0024 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	00666 PHOS-DIS MG/L P
72/06/24	14 45	0000	16.0	11.6	72	155	8.63	55	0.040	0.060	0.067	0.054
	14 45	0020	12.0	5.4		180	6.96	65	0.040	0.680	0.359	0.288
72/09/10	17 40	0000			36		8.30	54	0.040	0.080	0.244	0.220
	17 40	0004	17.5	7.7		220	8.30	52	0.040	0.070	0.279	0.217
	17 40	0015	17.6	7.8		225	8.40	57	0.040	0.090	0.244	0.224
	17 40	0019	17.6	9.4		225	8.30	54	0.040	0.070	0.268	0.225

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
72/06/24	14 45	0000	18.3J
72/09/10	17 40	0000	1.1J

J* VALUE KNOWN TO BE IN ERROR

APPENDIX D

TRIBUTARY DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/27

262481 LS262481
46 30 00.0 087 40 30.0
CARP CREEK
26 15 MEGAUNEE
I/DEER LAKE MARQUETTE CO
CO RD BRDG N ISHPEMING NEARLK BELO STP'S
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
72/10/28	15 14		0.300	2.730	0.780	0.215	0.660
72/12/02	09 40		0.420	2.600	0.600	0.131	0.235
73/01/06	11 15		0.420	1.540	0.655	0.176	0.300
73/02/03	14 00		0.430	5.700	1.100	0.300	0.720
73/03/03	10 30		0.460	1.600	0.670	0.154	0.315
73/04/07	09 15		0.400	0.880	0.190	0.052	0.115
73/05/02	20 30		0.330	1.050	0.091	0.037	0.115
73/05/10	11 30		0.088	2.200	0.061	0.006	0.020
73/05/20	09 00		0.920	1.500	0.180	0.052	0.100
73/06/02	11 25		0.860	1.540	0.378	0.105	0.210
73/07/15	10 45		0.570	2.700	0.460	0.090	0.270
73/08/17	11 15		0.610	2.400	0.700	0.138	0.390
73/09/09	15 30		0.054	2.730	0.052	0.026	0.680
73/09/25	11 00		0.490	3.150	1.020	0.200	0.480

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/27

262482 LS262482
46 28 00.0 087 43 00.0
CARP CREEK
26 15 ISHPEMING
T/DEER LAKE-MARQUETTE CO
CO RD BRDG 1 MI SW W ISHPEMING ABOVE STP
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
72/12/09	09 45		0.231	0.520	0.024	0.005K	0.009
73/01/06	10 35		0.273	2.940	0.168	0.007	0.011
73/02/03	11 25		0.260	0.390	0.092	0.006	0.006
73/03/03	10 00		0.280	0.320	0.077	0.011	0.015
73/04/07	09 30		0.220	1.750	0.083	0.006	0.015
73/05/02	19 45		0.100	0.440	0.014	0.006	0.020
73/05/10	12 30		1.020	2.300	0.168		
73/05/20	08 30		0.200	2.200	0.082	0.008	0.015
73/06/02	10 30		0.180	0.440	0.054	0.006	0.015
73/07/15	10 15		0.180	0.890	0.092	0.012	0.015
73/08/17	11 30		0.154	1.050	0.049	0.007	0.015
73/09/09	14 00		0.110	0.750	0.078	0.010	0.015
73/09/25	11 10		0.115	0.340	0.048	0.007	0.015

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/27

2624A1 262483
46 32 00.0 087 40 00.0
CARP RIVER
26 15 NEGAUNEE
O/DEER LK MARQUETTE CO
RD EASTERLY FROM CO RD W END OF LAKE
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
72/11/04	13 45		0.018	1.600	0.084	0.080	0.200
72/12/02	13 45		0.068	1.000	0.198	0.069	0.120
73/01/07	14 00		0.126	1.000	0.350	0.105	0.160
73/02/03	12 35		0.210	3.780	0.700	0.154	0.240
73/05/20	11 00		0.010K	1.500	0.037	0.009	0.050
73/06/02	13 30		0.026	2.200	0.090	0.009	0.050
73/07/15	15 00		0.014	1.700	0.490	0.240	0.330
73/08/17	10 30		0.040	1.640	0.570	0.350	0.430
73/09/25	10 25		0.026	1.000	0.072	0.154	0.245

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/05/27

2624C1 LS2624C1
46 31 00.0 087 42 30.0
GOLD MINE CREEK
26 15 NEGAUNEE
T/DEER LAKE-MARQUETTE CO
XING CO RD W END LK 2.5 MI NNW ISHPEMIN
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
72/10/28	14 55		0.170	0.610	0.044	0.005K	0.009
72/12/02	09 20		0.260	0.400	0.026	0.005K	0.006
73/01/06	10 50		0.260	0.245	0.033	0.005K	0.006
73/03/03	11 00		0.240	0.225	0.044	0.005K	0.010
73/04/07	09 00		0.190	0.900	0.063	0.005K	0.015
73/05/02	20 00		0.170	0.600	0.025	0.005K	0.025
73/05/10	12 00		0.168	3.100	0.064	0.007	0.025
73/05/20	09 30		0.189	2.200	0.065	0.006	0.010
73/06/02	11 38		0.220	0.720	0.038	0.008	0.010
73/07/15	10 55		0.260	1.100	0.075	0.005K	0.010
73/08/17	11 00		0.220	0.780	0.052	0.014	0.020
73/09/09	15 00		0.026	1.000	0.033	0.005K	0.015
73/09/25	10 50		0.160	0.900	0.063	0.008	0.010

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED